



# Lithium and rare metal granites in Portugal

A. Mateus







- Official inventory (2018): 306 kt Li-resources (in ≈71Mt of LCT aplite-pegmatite rocks); 53 kt as Li-reserves.
- Increasing these figures might be possible as implied by known exposures; but grades/tonnages and mineralogy vary significantly.



source: USGS 2019

production (in 1,000t) 🔵

reserves (in 1,000t)





#### Li-resources confined to various subtypes of LCT lodes:

- **GTMZ** mostly petalite- or spodumene-dominant aplitepegmatite bodies with poor internal differentiation, hosted in metasedimentary units of the Parautochthon.
- CIZ mostly peri-granite (in different units of the Autochthon – Douro or Beiras Groups) or intra-granite aplite-pegmatite bodies variably enriched in Li-bearing micas and/or phosphates; occasional metasomatized leucogranite cupolas and quartz-lodes with Li-phosphates.



## 9 high potential areas for exploration/exploitation endeavours

Resources for the main 5 prospects, 2018 (excluding Argemela): 29.74 Mt @ 0.81 wt% Li<sub>2</sub>O

- 16.80 Mt @ 0.88 wt% Li<sub>2</sub>O (inferred)
- 12.30 Mt @ 0.68 wt% Li<sub>2</sub>O (indicated)
- 0.64 Mt @ 1.50 wt% Li<sub>2</sub>O (measured)

Argemela: 20.10 Mt @ 0.4 wt% Li<sub>2</sub>O (inferred)



Modified after Leal Gomes and Dias (2018)



# Serra d'Arga



Leal Gomes (1995, 2005); Leal Gomes and Dias (2018)



**SILURIAN** 

succession.

**1** Metasediments, locally

exhalative components.

 $Qz + Kf(\pm Ab)$ 

+ *Pet* + *Spd* 

incorporating volcanogenic and

2 Metasedimentary-exhalative

3 Migmatites & lenticular/laminar bodies of granitoids.

**4** Early syn- $D_3$  two-mica peraluminous granites.

**5** Hybridised granites.

**6** Granodioritic facies.

 $\bigcirc$  Syn- to late-D<sub>3</sub> granites (emplacement controlled by the Vigo-Régua Shear Zone).

8 Aplite-pegmatite bodies (irregular geometry; controlled by  $D_2$ -related structures and affected by  $D_3$ ).

**±** Ms **±** Amb/Mont **±** Cst **±** Ta(-Nb) oxides

## Serra d'Arga

Μ

Ε



Kf (± Ab) + Qz + Pet

(Pet → Euc + 3Qz) Pet → Spd + 2Qz (= SQI)

SQI pseudomorphic transformation of petalite (palisade texture)

2 cm

 $(Spd \rightarrow Bk)$ Spd + H<sup>+</sup> + H<sub>2</sub>O = Ck + SiO<sub>2</sub> (kaolinite or illite ± montmorillonite)

![](_page_7_Picture_8.jpeg)

Petalite (Pet) =  $LiAlSi_4O_{10}$ Spodumene (Spd) =  $LiAlSi_2O_6$ Eucryptite (Euc) =  $LiAlSiO_4$ 

Bikitaite (Bk)=  $LiAlSi_2O_6 \cdot H_2O$ Cookeite (Ck) =  $(LiAl_4\Box)[AlSi_3O_{10}](OH)_8$ 

# Barroso-Alvão

![](_page_8_Figure_2.jpeg)

- Charoy et al., 1992, 2001;
- Farinha and Lima, 2000;
- Lima, 2000;
- Noronha et al., 2006;
- Bobos et al. 2007;
- Martins, 2009;
- Martins and Lima, 2011;
- Martins et al., 2011;
- Dias et al., 2019

![](_page_9_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### Spd-dominant bodies (TYPE 1)

#### **First crystallization stage**

• Qz (± Ab I) + Kf + (Ms I) ± beryl ± apatite ± Fe-Mn(-Li) phosphates

#### Second crystallization stage (significant Na-enrichment)

- Spd II + Ab II + Qz II + Ms II ± Mont ± Ta(-Nb) oxides
- [Pet II, after Spd **TYPE 2**]

#### Late metasomatic/hydrothermal processes

• Secondary phosphates ± cookeite ± clay minerals

![](_page_10_Picture_9.jpeg)

**Adagói** Thin film of Pet around Spd

![](_page_10_Picture_11.jpeg)

# Barroso-Alvão

#### Barroso-Alvão

#### **Pet-dominant bodies (TYPE 3)**

#### **First crystallization stage**

• Qz + Kf + Pet ± Spd (incipient SQI) ± Cst ± Ta(-Nb) oxides

#### Second crystallization stage (significant Na-enrichment)

- Ab II + Qz II (± Spd) + Ms II ± Euc ± Fe-Mn-Li phosphates
- [strain-enhanced SQI  $\rightarrow$  Spd  $\uparrow$  = **TYPE 4**]

#### Late metasomatic/hydrothermal processes

• Secondary phosphates ± cookeite ± clay minerals

![](_page_11_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_11.jpeg)

#### Farinha Ramos, 2007

![](_page_12_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Sn-rich aplite-pegmatite sills
- Fault zones

#### Alluvium deposits

Arkose sands

Porphyry granite (*Bt>>Ms*)

![](_page_12_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Figure_9.jpeg)

#### Lepidolite-rich pegmatites:

- 301±3 Ma (Ar-Ar, Ms) ٠
- 270-277 Ma (K-Ar, Lep). ٠

Parental, highly differentiated (volatile enriched), Fráguas granite (to the East):

- 300±1 Ma (SHRIMP U-Pb, ٠ zircon)
- 301±2 Ma (SHRIMP U-Pb, ٠ monazite)

#### Neiva et al., 2011

![](_page_13_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Li-rich sills more evolved than those bearing Cst

Complex, banded, sometimes zoned

No evidence of significant intracrystalline deformation

• Main: Qz + Ab + K-f + Ms + Li-Ms + Lep

microlite, Al-phosphates, Mn-oxides

• Traces: zircon, monazite

Sporadic: torbernite, autunite

![](_page_14_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Figure_0.jpeg)

(Ma)

Based on petrographic and geochemical features, 5 main granite suites were emplaced during the ca. 320-295 Ma period (Villaseca, 2011; Roda-**Robles et al.**, 2018):

> (\*) Moderately to low peraluminous granites, with features at the limit between S- and I-type granites, with emplacement ages of 319–299 Ma.

> Highly peraluminous, Ca-poor and variably enriched in P two-mica leucogranites; metasedimentary protoliths; syn-D<sub>3</sub> emplacement, peaking at 316-312 Ma. Minor (\*)

> Highly peraluminous, Ca-poor, P-rich (biotite ± muscovite **±** cordierite **±** andalusite) monzogranites; prevailing metasedimentary source; emplaced at ca. 310-300 Ma.

> P-poor, moderately peraluminous granites, mostly crystallized at 308–299 Ma, coupled with (\*)

> granites including metaluminous to I-type low peraluminous amphibole-bearing biotite-granodiorites.

![](_page_17_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Figure_0.jpeg)

Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2019, 497-526, doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-10519-8 13

**Indisputable identification of parental granites** (to which the highly differentiated, rare metal enriched, residual melts are related) is confirmed only in few aplite-pegmatite swarms.

Mostly in CIZ, triphylite-lithiophilite enriched pegmatites (e.g., Mesquitela), and lepidolite-dominant and amblygonite/montebrasite-dominant lodes (e.g., Gonçalo-Seixo Amarelo, Argemela, Segura).

The involvement of successive injections of independent batches of melts resulting from low melting rates of metasediments (and metavolcanic rocks), cannot be discarded.

Specially in GTMZ, petalite- or spodumene-dominant lodes (e.g., Serra d'Arga and Barroso-Alvão).

#### Barroso-Alvão

![](_page_20_Figure_2.jpeg)

# Conclusions

 Investment in exploration endeavours and mineral research must continue to suitably characterize the Portuguese resources and delimit reserves supporting an added-value chain for Li-products, including those for battery production.

Inspection of the factors ruling the morphological diversity, tonnage and spatial distribution of Li-rich bodies is paramount.

Also significant is the assessment of conditions controlling the relative abundance of spodumene/petalite, amblygonite/montebrasite or lepidolite, along with other minerals that could provide important by-products (Nb, Ta, Sn, Be, Cs).

Several overviews on these issues already exist, but comprehensive studies are lacking for many aplite-pegmatite fields, thus hindering a correct management of these increasingly important mineral resources.

#### Acknowledgements

![](_page_22_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_2.jpeg)

ERA-MIN Joint Call 2019 (EU Horizon 2020 ERA-NET Cofund Project ERA-MIN2, Grant agreement Nº 730238)

![](_page_22_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_6.jpeg)

#### inovmineral4.0

TECNOLOGIAS AVANÇADAS E SOFTWARE PARA RECURSOS MINERAIS

![](_page_22_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_10.jpeg)

# Thank you so much for your attention!

# A. Mateus amateus@fc.ul.pt

Lepidolite-rich pegmatite (Gonçalo)